

DCI

Attached herewith:

- (1) Some general views of your proposed speech.
- (2) Specific changes that are recommended.
- (3) The draft you furnished to me.

STANLEY J. GROGAN  
Assistant to the Director

30 November 1959

might well recede in the decade we are entering." These two statements, considered together, might cause a belief that the DCI is saying, there will be no war in the next decade because America will use nuclear weapons in any aggression against us while the USSR does not want a nuclear war, thus establishing a standoff that will last while both sides remain militarily capable of devastating the other's country should either be attacked.

5. There are perhaps too few specific statements and too many generalities in the speech. For example: On Page 1 the DCI reiterates his 1947 statement that the challenge to America (by the USSR) is "to prove that the system of free men under law can survive." Survive against what? Communism as a political force, as a religion? The later statement that today's objective of the Soviet leaders is to "surpass us (America) in total<sup>industrial</sup> output" may supply part of the survival answer.

6. The DCI states that the Sino-Soviet bloc is "the most aggressive foreign competitor." Is this a fact? Shouldn't this be qualified in view of our unfavorable trade balance today with the Free World countries?

7. The DCI states that the Soviet industrial growth rate today is "some eight to nine per cent." On Page 10 of his statement of 13 November 1959 before a joint Congressional subcommittee he said:

"...the range of estimates (industrial growth) is from 9 to 10.5 per cent a year." (Estimates by various Western scholars.)

Then he adds, "...our (CIA) estimate of about 10 per cent."

Would it not be correct to again state "of about 10 per cent" rather than "some eight to nine per cent?"

STANLEY J. GROGAN  
Assistant to the Director

cc: DDCI

RECOMMENDED CHANGES:

Page 1, line 4: Change "us" to "the United States of America."

Page 1, line 8: Change "their" to "its."

Page 2, line 1: "The old Soviet leaders have gone"--(Stalin, Molotov, Vishinsky). (Molotov is a minor "leader" today, but Bulganin, Koniev, Zheltov, and others are about.)  
Recommend line 1, Page 2, be changed to read:  
"Many of the old political leaders have gone" etc.

Page 2, line 4: Change "us" to "America."

Page 2, end of Par. 3: Recommend add "and to encourage neutrality where there are nations they can't get on the Communist side."

Page 3, line 1: Did the Soviet "have an atomic power" in 1949?

Page 3, line 2: Change "great" to "large" and in line 3, delete "still," and delete "the threat of." (You can rattle missiles but can one "rattle a threat?")

Page 3, last paragraph: This paragraph needs re-writing. It is stated the "...most aggressive foreign competitor" is "in the Sino-Soviet Bloc." Is this a fact? The outflow of gold and dollars from the US, due to buying more abroad than we sell, results in large part from British and Japanese competition--not from the Sino-Soviet Bloc. Recommend check facts; recommend also delete "so," third line from bottom, Page 3.

Page 4, line 5: Add "Let me discuss this viewpoint."

Page 5, lines 8 and 9: Delete "whether by guided missiles or conventional aircraft" as superfluous and it omits the Navy's Polaris via subs.

Page 5, last sentence: This general statement is intriguing. Why not give one or more specific examples or references?

Page 7, line 5: Delete "and were a calculated act." (If you calculate winning a war and you lose, it's a "miscalculation. The last sentence is self-contradictory.)

Page 8, line 3: Change "This Country" to "America."

Page 9, line 2: Change "could" to might."

Page 10, line 5: Insert "Soviet" before "attempt."

